

The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HIYWET BEKELE MILLION,

Defendant

NO. CR21-059-RSL

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture (the "Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Hiywet Bekele Million's interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$88,346.78 reflecting proceeds Defendant Hiywet Bekele Million obtained from committing Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(1)-(2).

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(1)-(2), are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2);

- 1 • In Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
- 2 § 982(a)(2), the proceeds Defendant obtained from committing Bank Fraud, to
- 3 which Defendant pleaded guilty (Dkt. No. 68, ¶ 13);
- 4 • Defendant admitted that Defendant obtained proceeds from committing this
- 5 offense in the amount of approximately \$88,346.78 (Dkt. No. 68, ¶ 13);
- 6 • The forfeiture of this sum of money is separate and distinct from the restitution
- 7 ordered in this case.
- 8 • The forfeiture of this sum of money is personal to Defendant Million and, pursuant
- 9 to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no third-
- 10 party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

11

12 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

13 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2), and Defendant's Plea Agreement,

14 Defendant Million's interest in a sum of money in the amount of \$88,346.78 is fully and

15 finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

16 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become

17 final as to the Defendant Million at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the

18 sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;

19 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party

20 other than the United States;

21 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this Order forfeiting

22 the sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order,

23 at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$88,346.78; and

24

25 ///

26

27 ///

1 5) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
2 this Order, as necessary.

3
4 DATED this 5th day of July, 2023.

5 

6 THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9

10 Presented by:

11
12 s/Karyn S. Johnson

13 KARYN S. JOHNSON

14 Assistant United States Attorney

15 United States Attorney's Office

16 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

17 Seattle, WA 98101

18 Phone: 206-553-2462

19 Fax: 206-553-6934

20 Karyn.S.Johnson@usdoj.gov
21
22
23
24
25
26
27